

# A Blanket Of Darkness Is Falling Over The West

By Armando Simón

From [Sweden](#) and [Germany](#), to [Australia](#) and [New Zealand](#), to [France](#) and [Finland](#), to [America](#) and [Canada](#), to [Armenia](#) and [Italy](#), to [Britain](#) and [Ireland](#), a network of censorship has been developing and steadily solidifying. [Censorship has even been imposed on scientists](#). Ironically, this blanket of darkness has occurred in those countries with the longest tradition of freedom, specifically of freedom of speech, assembly and of writing. Soon, the peoples of those countries will have to resort to *samizdat*.



When Musk took over Twitter and [exposed](#) the systematic [censorship](#) apparatus within the organization, he also revealed that [part](#) of the censorship

was being carried out at the request of the [Biden](#) regime ([Facebook](#) likewise [agreed](#) to impose [censorship](#)). By doing so, he opened the window to what was, in fact, a governmental conspiracy to establish censorship, thereby ironically putting an end to the automatic [dismissal](#) of “conspiracy theories” for those persons who could not, or would not, connect the dots.

He also fired the [vermin](#) who were carrying out the censorship.

Leftists in the [Biden](#) regime were not [happy](#). The White [House](#) is “keeping an eye” on Musk and X, and pseudo-President Biden has spoken out against [Musk](#). In retaliation, the [FTC](#) was ordered to harass Musk. One would expect that the American [media](#) would support [Musk](#), but the [reverse](#) is the [case](#). Except for [one](#).

By exposing to the light of day the existence this conspiratorial censorship and allowing X to become a truly free speech zone, he [infuriated](#) the totalitarian [elites](#). He further infuriated the overseas [elites](#) that relied on censorship over their own countries in order to suppress views and facts anathema to their ideology. Recently, the [EU](#) bureaucrats demanded Elon [Musk](#) not to broadcast a simple conversation between him and Donald Trump. This was followed by the Brazilian regime going after [X](#) for revealing a conspiracy to institute censorship by the Supreme [Court](#) in that [country](#) and its demand to impose censorship of [views](#) and facts.

On top of that, Musk [condemned](#) the imposition of censorship in Canada.

[And](#) he [continues](#) to give the [middle](#) finger to the [elites](#).

And leftists continue to argue that [Musk](#) be arrested for promoting free speech.

But this is not about Elon Musk. He is simply the most visible example of a sickening pattern of suppression occurring around the world. Musk is noteworthy because he is one of the few well-known [individuals](#) who consistently [spits](#) in the eye of the totalitarians *and* has the means to resist them.

But [most](#) inoffensive [persons](#) are not [famous](#), nor do they [have](#) the substantial resources to prevent [being crushed](#) under the [heel](#) of [these](#) totalitarians,

though [many](#) are [brave](#) and [defiant](#) while [others](#) grovel and [apologize](#) for [having](#) offended. And though I [would](#) dearly like to [detail](#) the victims' [plight](#) (partly because their ordeal has been censored), I will instead shine the light on the politicians who demand censorship, using either euphemisms or obfuscating language.

I must momentarily digress. Coming from a scientific background, I retain an incurable habit of collecting data, and I am herewith presenting facts, aka, data. Now, data by its very nature is tedious, but absolutely vital in any endeavor. Why do so here? Because writing or speaking in sympathetic outlets, leftists have shamelessly denied [that](#) conspiracies exist, that [further](#) proclaimed [electoral fraud](#) is [misinformation](#), that [there](#) is no [racial](#) discrimination [against whites](#) or [Asians through](#) affirmative [action](#), illegal [aliens](#) don't [vote](#) in elections, [there](#) is no [media](#) bias, that [schools](#) are not [engaged](#) in indoctrination or [perversions](#), that [Donald Trump](#) was not [shot](#) but was [staged](#), etc. My writing an equally grandiose essay disputing their denial can only lead to back and forth. But facts are facts. Data is data. And here is the data. It is a long, admittedly tedious, list of politicians urging the dictatorial suppression of free speech in one way or another.

## **American Politicians Advocating Censorship**

It is an undeniable fact that all politicians brazenly demanding censorship, or imposing it, have been liberals (aka, Democrats, leftists, etc.). *None has been conservative or libertarian.* The data speaks for itself. In America, the Democratic Party is the party of censorship (among other [things](#)). And it is important to note that the Democratic

Party of today is radically different from what it once was; it now champions totalitarianism, [and](#) the [change](#) has [resulted](#) in [several traditional Democrats leaving](#) the [party](#).

It is also a fact that condemnation of these demands, or acts, of censorship has not taken place in the mainstream media, which I refer to as the hivemind.

- In 1974, Joe [Biden](#) boasted that politicians could do away with the First Amendment if they wanted to do so.
- Elizabeth “Pocahontas” [Warren](#) demanded that internet social media platforms impose censorship on criticism of presidential candidate Kamala Harris. [She](#) also demanded Amazon suppress the book “The Truth About COVID-19” by Drs. Mercola and Cummins.
- Rep. Frederica [Wilson](#) expressed her desire to censor and prosecute anyone who makes fun of Congress.
- Rep. [John Yarmuth](#) called for banning teens from wearing MAGA caps.
- Adam [Schiff](#) with other Democrats introduced a proposed constitutional amendment to overturn the “Citizens United” decision, which was a historical free-speech victory. On a different occasion in a hearing in the Capitol, testimony was heard about the Biden administration’s extensive censorship via surrogates. Schiff and other Democrats claimed there was no censorship, then [tried](#) to remove [from](#) the record testimony given, i.e., censorship. A [report](#) was finalized and published on the weaponization of the government against its citizens. The mainstream media ignored it.
- And not content with that, [Schiff](#) wants Google to suppress “misogynistic” content.
- [David Chipman](#) was Biden’s pick to head the ATF. In 2019, he stated, “The frustration is in the United States the freedom of speech and to say things is [sic] largely

cannot be regulated.” Republicans blocked his nomination.

- The Biden administration told Facebook to suppress conservatives on its website, and Facebook complied. It also told the agency to suppress COVID memes, especially funny ones, and Tucker Carlson. [Jokes](#) were suppressed (historically speaking, in all totalitarian regimes comedy/humor is taboo). [COVID](#) “misinformation” was likewise suppressed – [though](#) in the end, the “misinformation” was [correct](#). Prior to the above, the [Biden](#) campaign asked Facebook to take down ads from the Trump re-election campaign of 2020. Later in [2024](#), Zuckerberg expressed regret in participating in suppressing information.
- Closely related to the topic at hand, Rep. Sean [Maloney](#) stated that religious liberty is a “bogus term” that serves as a “pretext for discrimination.”
- Apparently taking a [page](#) from the [UK](#) censorship, Michigan [Democrats](#) passed a law that punishes anyone using “hate speech.”
- Minnesota’s and Tim Walz’s prosecutor, Keith Ellison, applauded Brazil’s censorship of X. He also demanded that Amazon stop selling books from politically incorrect organizations and individuals.
- NPR’s CEO Katherine [Maher](#) complained the First Amendment makes it “tricky” to censor “disinformation” and “the influence peddlers” who spread it.
- A Democrat in the [White House](#) came up with the idea of a Disinformation Ministry within the Department of Homeland Security, which was so obvious in-your-face-1984 it was immediately canceled.
- [Hillary Clinton](#) wants everyone who voted for Trump to be put in re-education camps where they will be trained to censor their minds. The CNN reporter interviewing her nodded in approval. In another interview, [she](#) condemned Facebook for not censoring more effectively. She appeared on MSNBC right after the second attempted

assassination of Trump to spread the vile hate that led indirectly to the attempt. She also insisted that Americans who voice different [views](#) and facts from hers (aka, disinformation) should be put in jail.

- Huffington [Post](#) fired David Seaman for writing two articles questioning Hillary Clinton's health. The articles were deleted.
- Congressional [Democrats](#), particularly California Reps. Anna Eshoo and Jerry McNerney, attempted to eliminate the weakest of the few conservative news outlets, One America News, for covering forbidden topics. But they did not stop there, they also went after *Fox News*. They [wrote](#) letters demanding advertisers stop funding these outlets with ads, thereby economically strangulating them out of existence.
- -Rep. Ted [Lieu](#), D-Calif., has expressed a desire to "regulate" (the euphemism liberals use for "censor") all [speech](#).
- Back to [Facebook](#). Mark Zuckerberg admitted the FBI pressured him to suppress any news of the pervert/traitor/drug addict Hunter Biden and his infamous [laptop](#).
- Kamala [Harris](#) stated that Elon Musk should lose the privilege of free speech for allowing free speech.
- [Al Gore](#) complained that the fact people can choose of their own free will to get views and information from sources other than the approved ones is a threat to democracy.
- A [Louisiana](#) court case has shown that there is a consistent pattern of attempts by the Democratic administration to suppress viewpoints and facts.
- [AOC](#) took a break from condemning cows for farting long enough to imply in an interview that conservative [speech](#) should be banned because anything conservatives say is incitement to violence (which violence, mind you, has not occurred, unlike with Antifa, BLM, Act Up, etc.).

- Democratic [mayors](#) got together to compose an anti-free speech manifesto covered with syrupy sweet verbiage to disguise its intent. It specifically highlighted San Antonio Chick-fil-A hater Ron Nirenberg who passed laws against referring to COVID-19 as the Wuhan virus, ordering the local police to harass anyone who said those words. Anyway, [Democrat](#) states are passing laws attempting to hamstring internet communication.
- [Democratic](#) Rep. Joaquin [Castro](#) – not Fidel Castro – introduced legislation in Congress forbidding anyone to refer to illegal aliens as “illegal aliens.” Which is what the Democrat city of New [York](#) did.
- Democratic Rep. Eric [Swalwell](#), suggested banning Fox News from troops overseas. In other words, the troops should be subjected to censorship. Swalwell, meantime, was having a fling with a Chinese spy named, appropriately enough, Fang Fang.
- When [Tucker](#) Carlson obtained [video](#) footage of the [January](#) 6 intrusion of Congress and aired said footage (which contradicted part of the narrative) Sen. Schumer and others demanded Fox News to suppress it and/or fire Carlson. Carlson and Fox soon thereafter parted ways.
- [CNN](#) host Jake Tapper asked why doesn't Facebook hire enough people to weed out the “Nazis” ahead of the 2024 election (in this context, “Nazis” refers to people trying to stop the onrushing totalitarian train and those who believe in freedom).
- [Democratic](#) Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee introduced a bill that makes it a federal offense for white people to criticize blacks.
- “Rachel” [Levine](#), a man pretending to be a woman, wants to eliminate any information that discourages children from sexual mutilation, preferring to instead promote it.
- Sen. [Hirono](#) wanted censorship of conservative outlets while claiming that there is no censorship of

conservatives.

- Failed [Democratic](#) U.S. House candidate Pam [Keith](#) called the First Amendment, which protects freedom of assembly, worship, speech and press as a “cancer” in America.
- [Vermont](#) has seen several instances of suppressing messages, usually revolving around the sacred BLM and LGTBLMAO mob.
- House [Democrats](#) have expressed a desire to have “oversight” over Fox News editorials.
- Clinton’s former Labor Secretary, Robert [Reich](#), has written a [book](#) attacking free speech wrote an article for “The Guardian” damning Elon Musk for allowing free speech on X, and simultaneously [called](#) for Musk’s arrest.
- Vice presidential candidate Tim [Walz](#), well-known for putting tampons in schoolboys’ bathrooms, recently stated on this very issue, “There’s no guarantee to free speech on misinformation or hate speech, especially in our democracy.”
- Perpetual failure [Beto](#) O’Rourke referred to Breitbart News, Fox News, and Sinclair News as terrorist organizations for broadcasting or publishing news and views he did not approve of. At one time, there was a lot of hype over the man during his many runs for office, but as one sign pointed out, he was “A fake Mexican, but a real pendejo.” No matter. As consolation, he was immediately given a teaching job at Texas State University.

There are many, many more instances of liberals in America demanding censorship in one way or another while giving ample justifications for their desired oppression, whether the subject of contention is electoral fraud, the COVID farce, sexual mutilation, or illegal immigration. The most frequent acts of censorship are, ironically, in universities. I have collected hundreds and hundreds of such instances to perhaps be listed another time. Intellectuals [have](#) given convoluted

arguments as to [why](#) voting is a danger to democracy.

As can be seen, the totalitarian virus has spread unchecked. It has spread to such a degree that some Americans are [demanding](#) the [Constitution](#) should be [trashed](#), the Supreme [Court](#) has got to be [purged](#), and, that elections are a threat to democracy. And, yes, they are Democrats.

Twenty years ago, if anyone had voiced a desire for dictatorial powers as the above, the repercussions would have been debilitating for the would-be commissar, but we have now been desensitized to such obscenities.

Fortunately, the Constitution was engineered to protect the public from people like the Democrats.

*First published in [Issues and Insights](#)*