

# The 'Grooming Gangs' and the Failure of Multiculturalism

by [Sean Bw Parker](#) (February 2025)



Lost (Dottie Stanley, 2014)

**When Prime Minister Keir Starmer** said he would 'smash the gangs,' did he mean Albanian crime gangs, county lines gangs, Pakistani rape/grooming gangs, or all of the above? Because they're very different, and there are many more than those three named (taken at random from the newly-published list of nationalities responsible for which crimes in the UK). It's not that British people are being swamped numerically, but it does feel like their lifestyles are under constant attack.

The 'grooming gangs' scandal in the UK is a consequence of a clash of cultures. In the late 1990s, Tony Blair's New Labour government opened up the borders to traditional societies from all over the world, and people who were dissatisfied in their home country moved to the UK. They were then dispersed to where they had relatives, or into inner cities where it was presumed cultural integration would be less of a problem.

Britain is an open-market, permissive society, where anyone can go about and putatively do whatever they like as long as they don't hurt anyone else. The countries from which the new immigrants come often aren't like that. Social and personal morality can be legal and endemic there; and on one hand they are trying to escape that repression, but on the other it is culturally intrinsic to how they see the world.

They are also often obligated into young or arranged marriages along social class lines, as a financial responsibility imposed by family expectations. Love or romantic choice has little to do with it, in contrast to the emotional-life-choices marketed in the west. The result is potentially loveless marriages, in which to commit adultery would be criminalised in their home country. Suddenly, in the UK, they are in contact with young women and girls who are assumed by liberal democratic understanding have a variety of life choices, but in fact are as constrained by financial restrictions as anyone else.

This meeting of the 'other' will obviously be caustic on all

sides: for the immigrant male, for the young female, not to forget the spouses and families of both. There is an understanding between these resilient, adventurous immigrant men that the spoils of western exploration are before them, and in their home cultures single white females are fair game—age of consent irrelevant. Their protests against charges of rape, sexual assault or predatory behaviour will be that the female was willing at the time, or that what transpired is not considered a crime in their culture, as the female was unchaperoned.

This is why the grooming gangs scandal in the UK is a side-effect of mass immigration, with the obvious consequences kicked into the future by politicians who don't care about the future—let alone the realities of societal culture clash. The term 'rape' has been on its own journey through the centuries, having once meant the taking without permission of another's property, to the perverted stranger with knife in the bushes, to the clear-consent-free sexual penetration we see today. The word is so powerful, due to daily heavy use in the mainstream media for decades, that YouTube will no longer allow it to be uttered, under threat of demonetisation. ('Grape' must be used instead.)

The same system that downplayed or covered up the rape gangs is also responsible for the 'believe the victim' policy, imprisoning many of thousands of men in the last couple of decades. Between these points of opportunistic immigrants exploiting vulnerable young British girls and the abuse of the 'BTV' policy are characters like Eleanor Williams, jailed for 8 years for making false allegations, including about the Pakistani gangs. A hell of a web to untangle then, with allegations sometimes coming from trauma, greed or attention; and incomers neither understanding nor respecting that unaccompanied women doesn't mean 'available for anything'.

Importing generations of people who want the economics and freedoms of Britain while caring not for its values, to the

point of finding their new home 'immoral,' is another iteration of the bonfire of agreed terms caused by the rainbow-sounding multicultural project. This mismatch of cultures, and pretending ones that still proudly carry out genital mutilation and child marriages are equal to ones which no longer do that has been revealed as unsustainable. The grooming/rape gangs scandal is one which boils the blood of the mainstream, and there have indeed already been many prosecutions of those responsible, but no scrutiny of those who knew all about it and arrested complaining fathers rather than the abusers themselves.

The soft, white underbelly of liberal democracy has been exposed, and our freedom of speech culture usurped by both hate preachers at Speaker's Corner and an authoritarian Prime Minister. It wasn't for want of trying that the multi-decade project of multiculturalism has ended in this level of grim opportunism – including numerous wrecked lives, futures and families. But with the help of the world's richest man (Elon Musk) and a man many consider to be the voice of the outraged working class (Tommy Robinson), a light has been shone on decades of obfuscation and lies. But take one or two cards out, and the whole house may come crashing down.

## [Table of Contents](#)

**Sean Bw Parker** (MA) is an artist, writer and contributing editor to *Empowering The Innocent*, a justice reform organisation affiliated with the University of Bristol Law School.

**Follow NER on Twitter [@NERIconoclast](#)**