

"In the Beginning There Was an Arab With a Knife": David Collier in Israel National News Sums Up 100 Years of Excuses For Muslim Jihad Against Jews

A chillingly memorable piece by a UK-born writer named David Collier that appeared in Israel National News on November 25.

<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/Articles/Article.aspx/17951>

'Op:Ed: In the Beginning There Was an Arab With a Knife. 100 Years of Excuses.'

'A trip down the blood-soaked timeline of Arab (that is: Muslim Arab, or indeed, one might just say, Muslim – CM) violence against Jews.'

'During the height of the second Intifada, the media and politicians in general were adamant that only total desperation could cause one human being to walk onto a bus full of innocent civilians and blow himself up.'

'This twisted logic unashamedly blames the victims for the act of violence that kills them and removes personal responsibility from the terrorist.'

'It is also a logic that only applies in Israel as we find [that] the same media and the same politicians have no trouble identifying the true cause of the brutal violence when it hits closer to home.'

I'm not so sure about that, given the amount of hand-wringing

*bleatings of **NothingtodowithIslam** and **Whatdidwedotoupsetthem** that I am seeing in the western press in the wake of the latest Allahu-akbaring Muslim butchery of helpless unarmed Infidels in Paris, France...- CM*

'On April 30th 2003, at about 00.45, 22 year old Asif Muhammad Hanif entered Mike's Place, a live music tourist pub on the Tel Aviv beachfront.

'Asif, from London, and his friend, Omar Khan Sharif from Derby, had been born, grew up and were educated in the UK. Their entire itinerary had been planned using the latest Lonely Planet Guide book, and they had spent the evening with a hundred other tourists at the Hayarkon Hostel, just up the road.

'Upon entering the pub, Asif detonated his bomb, killing 3 and injuring 50, with the damage restricted by the brave actions of Avi Tabib, the security guard.

'One of the fatalities was 29 year old French-born Dominique Haas, a personal friend of mine.

'It would be difficult to argue that Asif and Omar were any more desperate than you or I. Young, British, and university-educated, they had their whole lives before them, but simply believed there was more to gain from murdering Jews in Tel Aviv instead.

'It is now October 2015, and Jews are again being murdered on the streets of Israel.

Rephrase: "It is now October 2015, and Muslims are murdering Jews on the streets of Israel." – CM

'Today, we are being told that the reason that Arabs (*sic*: say rather, **Muslim Arabs** – CM) are murdering innocent Jews is because the 'status quo' on the Temple Mount is being threatened.

'It is a false rumour that has been used before, but let us embark on an historical exercise, and follow this logic to its obvious conclusion.

'This from Gaza, in October 2015. For those that haven't watched it, the call for stabbing, the knife, the religious references are highly disturbing viewing.

[Mr Collier links the footage of the Muslim cleric who called for the stabbing of Jews in Israel. – CM]

'But logic works a certain way, and in the given argument if we remove the said cause, the effect should just disappear. So let us step back a year.

'It is 2014. The status quo on the Temple Mount is not being threatened. This is an attack by a 16-year-old terrorist (*that is: a 16 year old Muslim Arab jihadist – CM*) who began stabbing Jewish customers at the Rami Levy supermarket in Mishor Adumim.

[Mr Collier links the footage. – CM]

'You can read more about it here [*link provided in original – CM*]. The reason given by Western media and politicians alike, for the violence of late 2014, was that the conflict in Gaza earlier in the year had created a feeling of desperation, and a need for revenge.

'If that is the case, let us go further back.

'It is October 2000, the conflicts against Hamas-run Gaza (*jihad armed camp Gaza – CM*) are still a decade away, but the Second Intifada is upon us. Israelis (*specifically, Jews – CM*) are about to be murdered on the streets of Netanya, Afula, Tel Aviv, Jerusalem, anywhere in fact that they can be reached.

Anywhere that Muslims can get at them. – CM

'Two Israelis (*Two Israeli Jews* – CM) Vadim Nurzhitz and Yossi Avrahami, having accidentally entered Ramallah, were "arrested" by P.A. policemen and taken to the local Police Station. There they were "beaten, stabbed, had their eyes gouged out, and were disembowelled" by a mob of **Palestinians**.

By a mob of Allahu-akbaring Muslim Arabs enacting the Jew-hatred that is hard-wired into the canonical texts of Islam.

Mr Collier includes the horrifying picture of one of the Muslim mobsters joyfully displaying his bloody hands. – CM

'The picture is of Aziz Salha, one of the lynchers, waving his blood-stained hands from the police station window.

'Salha was later arrested by Israel and sentenced to life imprisonment, but was released in 2011 as part of the Gilad Shalit prisoner exchange.

'The general consensus amongst politicians and the Western media was that this outbreak of brutal violence was the result of Ariel Sharon provoking the Palestinians (*i.e. provoking the Muslims* – CM) by stepping foot on the Temple Mount.

'If that is the case, let us go further back.

'It is September 1999 and Ariel Sharon's foot has not yet been placed on the Temple Mount.

'Haifa couple Sharon Steinmetz and Yehiel Finfeter went hiking in the Megiddo region, when they were stabbed and murdered by an Israeli Arab (*i.e. an Israel-passport-holding Muslim Arab* – CM) , Abdullah Salah Aghbariya.

'Aghbariya reportedly first attacked Finfeter, 25, with a knife and stabbed him several times in front of his girlfriend, before hitting her with a rock and stabbing her to death.

'Although the terrorist suggested that he had murdered these

two “because they were Jewish”, the media and politicians of the time were having none of it. They suggested that the late 1999 tension was caused not by the self-declared hatred of Jews of the terrorist, but by the friction and stagnation of the peace process.

‘If that is the case, let us go further back.

‘It is late 1993, and the stagnation of the peace process is yet to be seen on the distant horizon. “Hope” is now the keyword as Israelis and Palestinians (*as Jews and mostly-Muslim Arabs* – CM) embark on a mission of mutual recognition that results in the beginning of the Oslo peace process.

‘Within weeks, on 24.9.1993, Yigal Vaknin became the first of many victims of this process, as he was stabbed to death (*as a local Muslim stabbed him to death* – CM) in an orchard near the trailer home where he lived. Hamas claimed responsibility for the attack.

‘The violence over the coming years, some of it the most brutal seen inside Israel since 1948, was explained away as a ‘side effect’ of the peace process itself.

‘Over 250 Israelis died (*that is: “Muslims murdered more than 250 Jews in Israel”* – CM) in the years immediately following the Oslo accord, sacrificed for a peace that never came.

‘Western media and politicians explained away these terrorist actions as the desperate measures of extremists intent on stopping the process.

‘If that is the case, let us go further back.

‘It is 21st March 1989 and the Oslo Peace Process is not yet even a seed in Yossi Beilin’s mind.

‘The First Intifada has hit its peak, and **Muhammad Zakut**, an Arab (*Muslim* – CM) **construction worker in Tel Aviv began randomly stabbing Israeli civilians** (*that is: stabbing Jews* –

CM) in Tel Aviv on the Jewish holiday of Purim.

'Zakut stabbed three Israelis with a commando knife as he shouted "God is great".

A slight correction. "Zakut stabbed three Jews with a commando knife as he shouted "Allahu akbar", "Allah is greater"."— CM.

'One of the victims, Kurt Moshe Schallinger, 73, was killed as he left his car on a Tel Aviv street full of children in costume.

'Zakut received a life sentence, but like Aziz Salha from the Ramallah lynching, Zakut was released as part of the Gilad Shalit exchange.

'Around 160 Israelis were murdered during the first Intifada (ie. *'During the First Intifada Muslim Arabs murdered around 160 Israeli Jews'* – CM) with western press and politicians blaming frustration at the "occupation" and the building of settlements (*that is: the building of Jewish homes, farms and towns in Judea and Samaria* – CM) for the outbreak of violence.

'So if that is the case, let us go further back.

'It is 1966. The entire West Bank (*that is: all of the Old City of Jerusalem including the Temple Mount, historic Jewish Quarter, and Mount of Olives, together with Judea and Samaria* – CM) and Gaza are in Arab hands (*that is, in Arab Muslim hands* – CM) and there is no "occupation". Not a single settlement exists.

Not one Jew is present anywhere in the Old City nor even permitted to visit and no Jew is living anywhere within Muslim-dominated and Muslim-ruled Judea and Samaria, all these places – where substantial numbers of Jews had somehow managed to persist in despite of the Muslim imperium 7th-19th century – having been rendered entirely Judenrein by the British-armed

and British-supported Jordanian Muslim regime during the war in 1948. – CM

‘The situation has been the same for 17 years and because most of the attacks against the Jews in Israel began beyond the border, the terrorists were armed with guns or explosives rather than knives.

‘There were massacres on buses, attacks on trains, [attacks on] archaeologists, cross-border shootings, and numerous sniper attacks.

‘The knife still made its appearance with an attack against the odd shepherd, kidnap rape and murder of women, and various random attacks where specific details have been lost through time.

‘Between 1949 and 1967, when Israel was in existence completely behind the “Green Line”, **hundreds of Jewish people were murdered in Israel.**

That is, “Muslim Arabs murdered hundreds of Jews in Israel”. – CM

‘Rather than take the available option and declare a Palestinian State, the PLO (Palestine Liberation Organisation) was formed in Cairo in 1964 with the express intention of destroying Israel.

‘Through all this violence, western media and the politicians placed the blame on the tensions in the region caused by the status of the refugees created during the War of Independence that followed the birth of the State of Israel.

‘If that is the case, let us go further back.

‘It is December 2nd 1947. There are no refugees, no occupation, and Israel does not yet even exist.

‘The Arabs of the area (*that is: “the Muslim Arabs of the area*

and the Dhimmified Christians among them” – CM) have not yet even labelled themselves as “Palestinians”.

‘It is three days after the United Nations voted in favour of Partition, pushing a two-state solution that the Arabs totally rejected.

‘The Arabs (that is, the Muslim Arabs – CM) begin a riot in Jerusalem, with 8 Jews reported as being killed.

‘The New York Times pointed out that it was the knife that was the weapon of choice.

The knife is the ritual instrument used in halal slaughter of animals, one might remember. I think that might have something to do with this Muslim obsession with knives and the letting of blood, Jewish blood, infidel blood. – CM

‘The killings had begun even earlier with an ambush against buses near Kfar Syrkin on November 30th, and the following months were to see escalating violence as civil war erupted throughout the British Mandate of Palestine.

‘Arabs began to leave the region within weeks, with 100,000 having fled by March.

‘In January irregular Arab armies entered the arena to wipe the Jews out, and the neighbouring Arab countries declared openly that Israel would never be born.

Wherever one sees the word ‘Arab’ in this context one must remember that it has to be understood as “Muslim Arab”. Those who departed from Egypt, for example, to attack the Jews of nascent Israel, were all Muslims who believed themselves to be engaging in Jihad against the Jews for whom they had a Quranically-driven murderous hatred; one has only to read John Roy Carlson’s “Cairo to Damascus” to understand that. – CM

‘It is impossible to calculate precise Jewish losses over each period in a conflict that was to turn from civil to regional

war, but in total over 6000 Jews were to be killed in the following 18 months.

'Proportionally, more than the UK lost in the entire 7 years of WWII.

'According to Western politicians and media of the time, this was all because of the "understandable" Arab reaction to the resolution 181 and partition.

'If this is the case, let us go further back.

'It is April 19-20, 1936. There is no partition, no Israel, no settlements, no occupation and no refugees.

'Even the original suggestions of partition first raised by the Peel Commission are still some time away.

'But "The Bloody Day in Jaffa" is upon us, and the first day of the "Great Arab Uprising". By the end of the first week, 17 Jews had been murdered.

Or, to put it another way, "By the end of the first week, Muslim Arabs had murdered 17 Jews". – CM

'The revolt was to last 3 years and led to an almost absolute curb on Jewish immigration into British Palestine.

Which meant that European Jews would nearly all be trapped where Hitler could kill them. – CM

'The British and the media placed the blame for the murders and the violence of the revolt on Arab opposition (*which was, essentially, Muslim Arab opposition* – CM) to growing Jewish immigration that was caused by Jewish refugees fleeing Nazi Germany.

'If that is the case, let us go further back.

'It is 19th August, 1929. There is no partition, no Israel, no settlements, no occupation and no refugees.

'Adolf Hitler is out of jail, but yet to receive major popular support at an election. Zionist immigration is still on the slow-dripping Fourth Aliyah rather than the fast-flowing Fifth Aliyah.

'A young Sephardic Jew named Abraham Mizrachi was stabbed to death by an Arab (by a Muslim Arab – CM) near Mea Shearim.

'The following Friday, August 23rd, a violent Arab demonstration took place at the Western Wall, and that afternoon a massacre of Jews in Jerusalem began (17 Jews murdered). The violence spread across British Palestine and in the coming days Hebron (65+ massacred and tortured) and Safed (18+ massacred) saw the worst of the incidents.

One may note, incidentally, that the Jewish communities that the Muslims targeted for slaughter – Jerusalem, Safed, Hebron – were ancient, with a documented continuity of Jewish presence reaching back into the early middle ages. Jews, stubbornly enduring despite the humiliation, degradation and constant physical peril of Dhimmitude, had been living and studying Torah in all three places for centuries before the birth of Theodore Herzl. – CM

'The massacres and killings were to lead to the Shaw Commission analysing the cause of tension.

'It found that Arab leaders had created and spread propaganda to incite the trouble. They spread rumours of a Jewish takeover of the Temple Mount (sound familiar?).

'The Commission believed that the underlying cause was Jewish immigration and **the threat that local Arabs felt a Jewish presence in Palestine brought.**

Never mind that the Jews of Safed, Hebron and Jerusalem could claim an unbroken presence running back into the 17th, 16th, 15th and 14th centuries, and before. – CM

'This is no different than if today wild mobs streamed into towns in Europe or the US that have large immigrant populations with the intent to carry out bloody massacres against innocent civilians.

'But for the British, world politicians and the media this was excuse enough for Jews to be killed.

'So if this is the case, let us go even further back.

'It is 1920. There is no partition, no Israel, no settlements, no occupation, little immigration, and no refugees.

'Even the Mandate has not yet officially begun.

'San Remo, the conference that was to decide the fate of the Middle East, has not yet occurred.

'We are now back in Jerusalem at the time of the Nebi Musa festival. A time that was to see riots that had Arabs (*Muslim Arabs* – CM) murdering Jews in yet another “excusable” massacre in Jerusalem. The knives were out again.

'5 Jews were murdered and 216 were injured.

The Muslims murdered 5 Jews and injured 216. – CM

'The Palin Commission of Inquiry (don't the British love making up excuses for violence against Jews?) found that Arab disappointment at the non-fulfilment of the promises of independence was the primary cause.

'So if that is the case, let us go even further back.

'It is 1910.

'We have left British Palestine, because it does not yet exist.

'There has been no promise of independence to the Arabs, there

is no Balfour declaration, no Mandate, no partition, no Israel, no settlements, no occupation, and no refugees. Ariel Sharon's foot has not even been born.

'We are in Shiraz to witness a massacre (*a Muslim massacre* – CM) of Jews in 1910 that was sparked by a blood libel (also in 1892 and 1897). Twelve were killed, another fifteen were stabbed or hit with bludgeons or bullets and many more were injured.

'We can then travel back even further before the very beginning of the Zionist movement, to the Damascus Affair and the blood libel of 1840.

'And we find contemporary "mob attacks on Jewish communities throughout the Middle East".

Mob attacks carried out by Muslims, against stateless and powerless Dhimmi Jews. – CM

'We also find Jews were being murdered throughout the 19th century, just for being Jews, in Aleppo (1850 and 1875), in Damascus (1840, 1848, 1890), in Beirut (1862, 1874), in Dayr Al-Qamar (1847), in Jaffa (1876), in Jerusalem (1847, 1870 and 1895), in Cairo (1844, 1890), in Mansura (1877), in Alexandria (1870, 1882), and in Damanhur (1871, 1873, 1877, 1891).

'Each and every one before the first Zionist congress took place in 1897.

'Before the Partition, before Israel, before the settlements, before the 'occupation', before the 'refugees', before Sharon walked on the Temple Mount, before the 'wall', before immigration, before Balfour, and before even Zionism (*or, to be precise, modern Zionism* – CM) itself.

'In the beginning there was an Arab with a knife and he murdered a Jew simply because he was a Jew.

Or, to refine this, "In the beginning, from the time of the

rise of Islam and Mohammed's attacks upon Jews in Arabia, most notably those of the Khaybar Oasis, there was a Muslim Arab – or, one might say simply, a Muslim, since non-Arab Muslims, such as Persian or Turkish Muslims, animated by the Jew-hatred that is coded into the canonical texts of Islam, have been equally eager to kill Jews – with a knife and he murdered a Jew simply because he was a Jew.” – CM

‘It is an absurd logic that attempts to blame Zionism for creating violence against Jews when modern Zionism only came about because of relentless violence against Jews, both in (Christian and secular – CM) Europe and in the (Muslim – CM) Middle East.

‘You cannot place the cart before the horse.

‘Enough with the excuses.”

Click on the link and read the original of this powerful piece in situ, so as to take advantage of the many embedded links and images of historic newspaper headlines that the author has provided.

Post scriptum: *As testimony from the pre-Mandate period I would like to offer a further glimpse of the situation of the Jews in the land of Israel late in 1917, as described by a young Australian Light Horse trooper who took part in the campaign there that broke Ottoman Turkish power and thus ended the Muslim imperium. In December 1917, in his book “The Desert Column”, which is based on the detailed daily diaries that he kept during the campaign (up until the point where he was severely wounded at Jaffa and invalided out of the war), he writes as follows:*

“I think this is the 17th (December, 1917). Yesterday we rode by numbers of vividly-pretty little red-roofed towns. The inhabitants are very fair-skinned, mostly Jews. They are by far the most cleanly people we have yet met. They are very hospitable, although they do charge us a hefty price for brown

bread, honey and tobacco.

“Lots of them have had a hard time from the Turks. They seem to live between two devils, the Turk and the Arab. (That is: “between the Muslim Turk and the Muslim Arab” – CM).

Apparently the Turk prevents the Arab from massacring them outright, because the Jews are a very handy people to squeeze taxes (that is, JIZYA – CM) from...”.

Next time you hear anybody waffling on about how Jews and Muslims ‘lived together in peace’ and ‘shared the same plate’ in the Holy Land under Ottoman Muslim rule just recall these words grimly to mind – “They (the Jews) seem to live between two devils, the Turk and the Arab. Apparently the Turk prevents the Arab from massacring them outright, because the Jews are a very handy people to squeeze taxes from.”

Furthermore, when Idriess says “Lots of them (Jews in the land of Israel in 1917) have had a hard time from the Turks”, this is if anything an understatement. In 1914 there had been perhaps 110 000 Jews in Ottoman-ruled ‘Palestine’; by 1917 – as noted by, for example, Adolf Boehm in his book “The Zionist Movement” – only 55000 remained, the others having been murdered, or driven out, or forcibly rounded up and deported. Between 1914 and 1917 the Turks, for example, evicted 2000 Jews from Gaza, though after the British victory there, these people returned. They were driven out of Gaza again – and let us bear in mind that Jews were not johnny-come-lately foreign blow-ins in Gaza, the Sabbath hymn Ya ribon olam was composed by the chief rabbi in Gaza in 1600 – by Muslim mob violence in 1929 that killed 150 Jews. In 1917 the Muslim Turks also drove out 8000 Jews from Tel Aviv; indeed, many Jews who had been living in ‘Palestine’ in 1914 were forced to flee during the war. Boehm argued that if the British had not defeated the Ottomans in the Holy Land in 1917 all the Jews then resident there – both the new Zionist communities and those whose roots went all the way back to pre-Islamic times – might well have been slaughtered or driven

out.