

It should never have fallen on Lee Anderson to unmask a Pakistani rapist asylum seeker

An opinion piece by [Kelvin Mackenzie in GB News](#). Thanks again to RH for bringing it to my attention.

I believe Judge Nirmal Shant made a big mistake when she decided that a jury should not be told a 28-year-old Pakistani man facing two counts of rape was an asylum seeker. The Press were forbidden from reporting his immigration status. Wholly wrong.

She decided that reporting the information could prejudice Sheraz Malik's case. That is tosh. He either raped that vulnerable 18-year-old girl or he didn't.

The fact that he came to the UK in the boot of a car is not the issue. It's part of who he is. In the same way as if he had been an electrician or a financier.

The jury took just two hours at Birmingham Crown Court to decide Malik was guilty of the sex attack.

They heard he took turns with his Afghan friend (he's now on the run), raping the teenager before asking her: "Did you enjoy that?"...

The man I raise a glass to is Lee Anderson, the Reform MP for Ashfield, the area where the rape took place. Last year, he refused to bow at the knee to either the police or the judge and issued a statement saying the accused was an asylum seeker.

His point was that the knowledge was important to residents in Ashfield, vitally important if you had daughters. Further, how on earth was it going to adversely affect his trial?

Perhaps we might receive more of an explanation from Judge Shant now that the guilty verdict has been brought in.

After Anderson's tweet saying the facts should be revealed, the locals hit the streets and supported their MP. Bizarrely, it was then decided ... that Malik's trial should be moved from

Nottingham Crown Court to Birmingham so he could get a "fair" trial – there was nothing "fair" about his attack.

During cross-examination at Birmingham, Malik, who had stayed at a number of European countries before landing in the UK, was asked how he got here.

He protested that the question wasn't relevant, and the new judge, Simon Ash, intervened and agreed with Malik. So the jury didn't know, but ... when he refused to answer the question, I suspect they knew ... he was an asylum seeker.

So, both the police and two judges wanted to stop you from knowing that Malik was an illegal during his trial. There is, in my view, no justification for that decision. . . it may come as a shock to the legal system, but it's the job of the Press to reveal uncomfortable truths.

It's regrettable that Anderson felt he should take down his X post last year. I would have liked to see him taking on these Judges in a courtroom. However, I am grateful somebody is speaking up for the silent majority



@LeeAndersonMP_

Enough Is Enough.

I spoke with Nottinghamshire Police yesterday about this case. I was asked not to go public on this matter as it may affect the trial. Why would it affect the trial? Are our judges and juries incompetent? Or is there another reason I am being asked to remain quiet.

I've spent the last 24 hours mulling over this and cannot keep quiet.

The man charged with this vile offence is an asylum seeker who has been living in Ashfield.

I have been banging on about illegal migration since I was elected. At first I was told by other MPs that I was a racist, a bigot and I should shut up.

I will not shut up and do not care about the consequences.

Lee Anderson's post on X - since deleted - triggered a protest in Sutton-in-Ashfield

This government is importing rapists, sexual predators, and other vile criminals into our country.



Copy X post from the [Daily Mail](#)