

Muslim Refugee Warns: This Is the “Islamic Conquest of the West”

Selwyn Duke writes in the [segment](#) of *The Glazov Gang* (video below). Zahran’s basic message is that the West is being manipulated, fooled, and played for suckers by migrants who by and large are not what they appear.

Many of us have heard the stories. German schoolgirls [garbage dump](#), attacking medical staff, [suing](#) the German government for not paying benefits fast enough; and German schoolchildren [chanting](#) the jihadist cry *Allahu Akbar*, and migrants on the Greece/Macedonia border [expects](#) 1.5 million Muslim migrants this year alone; this is 50 percent more than the total population of Montana. And these migrants will enter a land where churches are [polls show](#) that even *in the United States* a majority of Muslims prefer Sharia law to American law, and 60 percent of Muslim Americans under age 30 say they’re more loyal to Islam than to our nation.

So Dr. Zahran seems to believe that the migrants represent a vanguard in what he calls “the soft Islamic conquest of the West.” He also says that in his opinion, half the Syrian male migrants “have actually held weapons and fought in the Syrian war.” And while they’re rough and ready to fulfill what he terms “the Islamic ... dreams of fascism of some,” Europe is playing the doormat. What Muslims “couldn’t do in the last 20 years,” says Zahran, “now the West is doing for us for free – and even paying for it.” Moreover, Zahran had this shocking news about the “invasion”: “I have to be honest,” he said, “you read Arab magazines and Arab newspapers; they are talking about, ‘Good job! Now we’re going to conquest [sic] Europe.’ So

it's not even a secret."

Of course, many Westerners welcome the Muslims in the name of "diversity," but, interestingly, rich Muslim countries such as Saudi Arabia, the UAE, and Dubai don't welcome the "diversity" of their co-religionists. Why not? Because, according to Zahran, most "Muslim states ... have zero history of human respect and human rights."

The irony of the diversity argument, however, is that diversity doesn't long exist where Islam takes hold, and much of Europe's history [involved defensive warfare to keep Muslims out](#). Note that Christianity was the dominant religion in the Mideast and North Africa until Muslim armies seized the territory after Islam's birth in 622 A.D.; Muslims also occupied Spain for 650 years, once came within 125 miles of Paris, and twice reached the gates of Vienna.

Dr. Zahran blames this conversion-by-the-sword mentality on the influence of the Hadith, an Islamic canonical book written hundreds of years after Mohammed's death; in fact, he frankly said that the Hadith is "basically ISIS." Yet while 21 percent of the book is devoted to jihad, when Islam's other two canonical volumes – the Koran and the Sira – are factored in, that figure rises to 31 percent. In contrast, as I [wrote](#) in February, "5.6 percent of the Old Testament and 0 percent of the New Testament are devoted to political violence. In terms of word count, this works out to 0 in the latter and 34,039 in the Hebrew Bible." And the Islamic canon? It comes in at 327,547 – 9.6 times greater than the Old Testament. Moreover, as one expert [put it](#), "The political violence of the Bible was for that particular historical time and place... The political violence of the Koran is eternal and universal."

In the face of this, Dr. Zahran has a politically incorrect prescription. He said to Glazov, "We need to send those

people [the Muslim migrants] home. I'm not talking only about those yet to come; I'm talking about those who are already there [in Europe] – they can always be sent home... I am *completely against making this situation permanent.*"

And that is the point. As I