

Protecting property from vandalism is racist, per NY Times

by Lev Tsitrin



One cannot help but be astonished that “([as I explained elsewhere](#)), they make a fascinating contribution to legal theory of the First amendment, seeing vandalism as legitimate expression of indignation. To many of us – I daresay all of us – this is indeed news, even more shocking given that the *New York Times*’ editors decided that it is covered by the paper’s logo, “all the news that’s fit to print.” Perhaps the real news to be derived from publication of this op-ed is that the *New York Times* keeps going from bad to worse – in shilling for illegality, it reached the new level of depth in its precipitous fall from measured respectability which once upon

a time defined it.