Tech Companies Apple, Twitter, Google, and Instagram Collude to Defeat Trump

Liz Crokin writes in the <u>mincing</u> around and drinking wine at the Democratic convention, celebrating Hillary's nomination. But the propaganda skewing this election runs much deeper than just the media: our iPhones, iPads, social media networks, Google and even video games are all in the tank for Hillary Clinton—and it's chilling.

I began looking into how strong the bias and censorship runs in these forums after I did an interview on the pro-Trump podcast, refused to publish a satirical Clinton Emailgate game, "Capitol HillAwry," claiming it was "offensive" and "mean spirited" even though the game's developer, John Matze, cited in communications with Apple that the game fits the standards of Apple's own satire policy. Apple has, however, approved **Breitbart** exposed this blatant double standard and favoritism toward Clinton. A few days after the article was released, Apple caved and pointed out before, and anyone with an iPhone or iPad can go to Apple News to determine on his or her own if Apple is pushing leftist propaganda. Apple claims not to endorse candidates, but their actions suggest otherwise, their executives—including some of CEO Cook-actively support Clinton's campaign. Buzzfeed recently <u>said</u> Clinton made a deal with Google and that the tech giant is "directly engaged" in her campaign. It's been widely reported Clinton <a>SourceFed details how Google alters its auto-complete functions to paint Clinton in a positive light.

For example, when you type "Hillary Clinton cri" into other engines like Yahoo! or Bing, the most popular autofills are "Hillary Clinton criminal charges" but in Google it's "Hillary Clinton crime reform." Google denies they changed their algorithm to help Clinton, and insists the company does not favor any candidate. They also claim their algorithms don't show predicted queries that are offensive or disparaging.

But Google has gotten into hot water on multiple occasions for <u>Crippled America</u>, returned images of Adolf Hitler's manifesto *Mein Kempf*. Google has since fixed both—but again, why do these issues always conveniently disparage Trump and help Clinton?

Twitter is another culprit. The company has gotten a lot of slack for banning conservatives and Trump supporters such as Breitbart's Milo Yiannopoulos and, most recently, rapper Azealia Banks after she came out in support of Trump. Twitter has provided vague answers as to why conservative voices have been banned while they've allowed other users to call for the killing of cops.

Just yesterday, Buzzfeed <u>reported before</u>). On August 4, shortly after the hashtag "HillaryAccomplishment" began trending, it was taken over by anti-Clinton users, who used it to mention Benghazi or Emailgate. Eric Spracklen, @USAforTrump2016 founder, noticed the hashtag was quickly changed—pluralized to #HillarysAccomplishments.

"They take away the hashtag that has negative tweets for Clinton and replace it with something that doesn't so the average person doesn't see what was really trending," Spracklen said. "This happens every day."

Jack Murphy, founder of @WeNeedTrump, says followers complain they often aren't able to retweet his pro-Trump tweets.

Instagram has also banned accounts that depict Clinton in a negative light. In June, a conservative comedy group called Toughen Up America he told the Daily Mail Australia. Lushsux has posted photos of way more graphic murals, including a topless Melania Trump and a naked Donald with his package in full sight. These images did not trigger any censorship from Instagram.

Facebook has a long history of <u>sent a letter</u> to Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg asking him to explain their practices.

Facebook denies it discriminates against "any sources of ideological origin" and Zuckerberg did <u>donated more to Clinton</u> than to any other candidate.

Many conservatives have come to expect this kind of thing from the mainstream media. CNN, which paints itself as the centrist antidote to right-leaning Fox News and left-leaning MSNBC, has actually been among the most disingenuous offenders during this cycle, fully earning its derisive nickname "Clinton News Network." For example, as NewsBusters revelation that the Obama administration secretly airlifted \$400 million in cash to Iran. John Berman gave a 27-second news brief to the report, but didn't mention that the payment was sent on "an unmarked cargo plane." New Day, therefore, devoted over 187 times more coverage to Trump than to the millions to Iran."

Another favored CNN trick is to present a "balanced" panel comprised of two Republicans, two Democrats and a host, as they did on the afternoon of July 29, just to name one instance of a hundred. However, the Republican side always features one Trump supporter and one "Never Trump" Republican, with the host grilling the Trump Supporter—often a beleaguered Jeffrey Lord—in what amounts to a 4-on-1. So much for balance.

Right now, CNN has a 1200 word story on CNN's website and

euphoric coverage on the air by Brooke Baldwin for "splitting with her party."

So that's the traditional media. But this new strand, where one cannot even search for alternative viewpoints amid technology companies who stand to benefit from the freetrade policies and eased immigration regulations of a Clinton presidence, represents a dangerous sea change. There's absolutely no question the digital forums we use every day are censoring conservatives and favoring Clinton. You can't simply scroll through photos on Instagram, look for a video game in the App Store or do a quick Google search without being fed anti-Trump and pro-Clinton propaganda.

These companies are engaging in activity that can quickly lead down a very dangerous slippery slope and this should concern all freedom-loving Americans—not just conservatives. If you don't know when the election is, no problem! Just Google it and see for yourself what comes up...