

The mass rape of young girls, British establishment says, 'So what?'

By Conrad Black

The grooming gang scandal in Britain has been a long time coming and while aspects of it and some allegations may be excessive (or not), it lifts the rock on the widespread catastrophe of immigration policy in many European countries, particularly the United Kingdom. It appears that many thousands, perhaps even more than 100,000 young girls over several decades, have been victimised by rape and other forms of molestation, sexual abuse and even murder by specified ethnic groups, and that while many of these individual crimes have been publicised, there has not until very recently and largely by the activity of Elon Musk's X social media site, been any attempt to aggregate these many thousands of individual instances. They have not, until very recently, been packaged together as the monstrous crime that they are and the horrifying failure of British justice and government to address them properly.



English judges, all fine and upstanding and decent, but do any of them hear the screams and terror of a young girl being raped? (Photo by Oli Scarff/Getty Images)

Musk has particularly caught off guard and severely discountenanced Prime Minister Sir Keir Starmer with his claim that when he was director of public prosecutions from 2008 to 2013, he effectively covered up and minimised the extent of this prolonged and widespread wave of physical sexual assault on young women. Musk alleged that Starmer was “complicit in the rape of Britain.” Up to a point, Starmer certainly deserves to be presumed innocent until there is more proof implicating him, but there appears to be no doubt whatever that this was a practice of males in the Pakistani community of the United Kingdom of molesting and sexually assaulting thousands of girls without the proportions of the problem or the extent of continuous joint gang activity being given remotely adequate public or official focus.

To some extent the problem was severely aggravated by the fear of being accused of racism. This is a genuine and well-founded fear and it is a terribly stigmatising accusation that is very difficult to shake off once it has been publicly launched. This phenomenon is often seen in the complaints that people of modest incomes have made about the effect of immigration in

the United Kingdom and other European countries being recklessly encouraged by governments to create the myth of economic growth when in fact the chief economic consequence is to raise the cost of food and of lower income housing and reduce per capita income in the country while generating a trivial and deceptive gain in GDP based on the exploitation of cheap labor. Starmer himself has been grossly guilty of denouncing objections to that policy as racist, and abusing the shortcomings of British rights of self-expression by storming into people's homes and leading householders out in handcuffs and charging them with grievous offenses because they have allegedly sent out "racist emails."

It appears that successive British governments have failed to link the many thousands of offenses of this character over several decades into a practice conducted by gangs and never recognised in its true societal proportions, as if they were merely a large number of individual unconnected instances of this particularly repulsive and perverted criminality. Whether Starmer himself effectively participated in a cover-up when he was director of public prosecutions or not, his government and its predecessors have done so, the mask has now been torn off and thrown down and successive governments of the United Kingdom have been revealed in their ghastly moral infirmity and hypocrisy.

The leader of the opposition, Conservative Kemi Badenoch, (a woman of Nigerian ancestry), rightly accused Starmer of "smear tactics from 20 years ago," and lamented "that such a huge scandal could occur should prompt soul-searching, not ranting that those of us who care about it are 'the far right.'" Starmer managed a reasonably energetic defence of his conduct as director of public prosecutions but completely failed to address either the charge of disguising the proportions and collective organisation of the practice of raping and otherwise assaulting young girls, and blundered headfirst into the outrageous practice of accusing anyone complaining about

any aspect of mismanaged immigration policy of "racism."

There is also no doubt that a man of Musk's influence has landed a heavy blow on the British prime minister by accusing him of being a "rape genocide apologist" who belongs in prison. Britain is not accustomed to the roughhouse, no-holds-barred nature of American politics and Starmer's response to Musk's description of Starmer: calling him "utterly despicable" and "insane," was pallid and unconvincing. He solemnly said: "Once we lose the anchor that truth matters in the robust debate that we must have, then we are on a very slippery slope." This implicitly concedes that the debate that should have been occurring for decades has been evaded by negligence and deliberate suppression of information and is rendered less resonant by the malapropisms connecting an anchor to a slippery slope.

The immigration policy of much of the Western world is been a disaster and the sooner the extent of that disaster is thoroughly analysed and made the subject of an informed public policy debate the better. It is too late to redress the shameful shortchanging the countless thousands of British victims have suffered, but it is never too soon to end the reflexive recourse to allegations of racism against those who sincerely raise the colossal policy failure of unassimilable and undesirable immigration. The United States is about to deport millions of criminals who entered the country illegally. Many Western countries should consider comparably draconian measures.

First published in the Brussel's Signal