

Tucker Carlson Simplifies the Struggle



by Armando Simón

I rarely listen at length to professional pundits, even those that I occasionally agree with. I was flipping through TikTok and came across an excerpt of a speech that Carlson made at the 50th anniversary of the Heritage Convention, a group of people that, to the best of my knowledge, accomplish nothing.

I pride myself in my facility of expression and, since all Cubans are born with a razor sharp tongue, prissy editors often have to be revived. This excerpt blew me away. Not only with his analysis but with its simplicity. And it needs to be read, or heard, by everyone. Here, then, it is (it is more impactful [listening](#) to him—at 23:50—than reading it):

"None of this makes sense in conventional political terms. When people, or crowds of people, or the largest crowd of people at all, which is the federal government, the largest human organization in human history decide that the goal is to destroy things, destruction for its own sake, "Hey, let's tear it down," what you're watching is not a political movement. It's evil.

So, if you want to assess, and I'll put it in non ... And I'll stop with this. I'll put it in nonpolitical or rather non-specific theological terms, and just say, if you want to know what's evil and what's good, what are the characteristics of those?

And by the way, I think the Athenians would've agreed with this. This is not necessarily just a Christian notion, this is kind of a, I would say, widely agreed-upon understanding of good and evil. What are its products? What do these two conditions produce?

Well, I mean, good is characterized by order, calmness, tranquility, peace, whatever you want to call it, lack of conflict, cleanliness. Cleanliness is next to godliness. It's true. It is.

And evil is characterized by their opposites. Violence, hate, disorder, division, disorganization, and filth. So, if you are all in on the things that produce the latter basket of outcomes, what you're really advocating for is evil. That's just true. I'm not calling for religious war. Far from it. I'm merely calling for an acknowledgement of what we're watching, which is not one ...

And I'm certainly not backing the Republican Party. I mean, ugh. I'm not making a partisan point at all. I'm just noting what's super-obvious. Those of us who were in our mid-50s are caught in the past in the way that we think about this. One side's like, "No, no, I've got this idea, and we've got this

idea, and let's have a debate about our ideas."

They don't want a debate. Those ideas won't produce outcomes that any rational person would want under any circumstances. Those are manifestations of some larger force acting upon us. It's just so obvious. It's completely obvious