

Which Governments Are "Part of the Problem"

[This article](#) about the three-day summit on Violent Extremism suggests that those governments that crack down with an iron fist on Muslims who use violence to obtain their ends are "part of the problem." But the regime that now constitutes the greatest "part of the problem" is that of the United States, which is run by people who have decided, absolving themselves of the responsibility of finding out what Islam teaches, what it inculcates, and instead deciding that as long as lots of Muslims do not actively participate in Jihad through violence, they are perfectly okay, and deserve, for some reason that remains unclear, to be made much of by the Western societies which have allowed them, without any investigation or thought, to settle deep within. But the observable behavior of Muslims toward the non-Muslim peoples, of many different kinds, whose lands they conquered, and the observable behavior and attitudes of Muslims today, toward many different kinds of non-Muslims, suggests that there is good reason to be alarmed. Obama, Kerry, and company would not wish you to find out too much about what is in the Qur'an, Hadith, and Sira – they apparently don't know too much themselves – and above all, they want by governmental fiat to force acceptance of Muslim behavior, to emphasize always Muslims as victims or potential victims (those "executions in Chapel Hill," those Sikhs killed by a madman in 2007 which of course means Muslims "might" also be subject to similar attacks) or Muslims as "unsung" heroes, whose stories deserve to be "better known" – and Obama had in mind Ahmed, the policeman killed down the street from the offices of *Charlie-Hebdo* by the Kouachi killers, and the sweet-faced shopboy at the kosher market who directed some of the hostages to a freezer where they might hide. Apparently Obama is unaware that much was made – too much – about both, with "Je suis Ahmed" signs hopefully appearing at the Grande

Manif in Paris, and the shopboy being given immediate citizenship at a well-publicized ceremony with the highest French officials.

There was lie upon lie, piled up, by Obama and Kerry and Josh Earnest and Jeh Johnson and so many others, that it would take a long time to tell it all. But the clear-sighted Egyptian who appeared at the meeting, showed that he, and the rest of the Egyptian government, would not allow themselves to join in the hallucinatory effort by the Obama regime. You can find his no-nonsense words of common sense in the last paragraph of the excerpt below, taken from the article:

"Elisa Massimino, president of the advocacy group Human Rights First, attended the meeting on Thursday and was struck by the juxtaposition of rhetoric and reality.

"We're sitting in that room with representatives of governments that are part of the problem," she said. "If the president believes what he's saying, then the actions that these governments are taking are undermining our supposedly shared agenda."

A case in point was Egypt, whose foreign minister, Sameh Shoukry, was among those given a featured speaking role on Thursday.

Although Egypt's military has reasserted its primacy and is cracking down on dissent, it has also been one of America's staunchest collaborators in hunting down terrorists in a dangerous region.

Just this week, Egypt launched an airstrike against Islamic State forces in Libya, briefly, at least, taking on an offshoot of the group that the United States has been bombing in Iraq and Syria.

Critics say the terrorism fight has simply enabled autocratic regimes to go after their political foes without worrying

about US disapproval.

Egypt's leaders, for instance, have moved to stifle the Muslim Brotherhood, the opposition group they deem too radical.

"It is futile to distinguish between bad terrorists, which must be defeated, and good terrorists, which can be accommodated," Shoukry said."