

# Why Are the ‘Liberal’ Rich Destroying America’s Greatest Cities?



by Roger L. Simon

Two powerful new articles—[“The Fall of Los Angeles”](#) by Joel Kotkin and [“NYC’s Trinity School bares its unholy leftist hate”](#) by Miranda Devine—illustrate in different ways what most of us have known for some time.

America’s two greatest cities are in drastic decline.

That people are departing them is hardly surprising. The real surprise may be that everybody hasn’t left. And I’m not just talking about the obvious—pervasive homelessness, garbage everywhere, the near-complete lack of public safety, and growing crime approaching dystopia.

I'm talking about something even more obvious and repellent—the rich, often mega-rich, “liberals” and “progressives” who run these cities don't care if they are run into the ground as long as they look good to themselves and their cronies and no one intrudes on or interrupts their lavish lifestyles.

Like an entire social class of modern Neros, they continue espousing the same policies while their two Romes burn. What's wrong? Why do they never change? Is it just endless [virtue signaling](#)? Don't you run out of that? How many Teslas can you buy?

Kotkin writes of [Los Angeles](#): “Worse still, conditions in LA today are bordering on the medieval. Anyone visiting some of the most famous districts of urban Los Angeles – notably downtown, Hollywood and Venice Beach – sees clear signs of destitution, including sprawling homeless encampments, vast numbers of people living in vehicles and [rampant crime](#). Last year, a UN official compared conditions on LA's Skid Row, a poor downtown neighbourhood, to those of [Syrian refugee camps](#). [Smash-and-grab thefts](#) at local 7-Elevens and the persistent [theft of goods from railyards](#) suggest this is a city that has lost control to the modern version of lawless highwaymen.”

Devine is writing of something more specific but frighteningly indicative of an accepted mindset in her city's high-minded “liberal” society. It's horrifying bigotry toward conservative “white boys,” actually wishing they would all be killed. This desire was expressed by one of the teachers at a fancy \$61,000 per annum [New York](#) private school. Devine highlights the school's reluctance to own up to the evil inherent in that view, preferring to blame the messenger (i.e., Project Veritas).

She goes to the heart of the peril from this kind of talk:

“They should remember the prophetic words of German pastor Martin Niemöller, an opponent of Adolf Hitler who survived the Dachau concentration camp:

“‘First they came for the communists and I didn’t speak out because I wasn’t a communist. Then they came for the trade unionists and I didn’t speak out because I wasn’t a trade unionist. Then they came for the Jews and I didn’t speak out because I wasn’t a Jew.

“‘Then they came for me. And there was no one left to speak out for me.’”

Does this small private school situation justify Devine’s rhetoric? The answer is yes, because the state of our culture resembles nothing more than Germany’s Weimar Republic. L.A. and New York in drastic decline are clear indications of that.

But back to my original question of why the “liberal” rich stand by and let this happen, weirdly, in some senses, encouraging it, while living as most of them do in some kind of cordon sanitaire with the most wealthy having their own security to protect them.

Why, for example, do large portions of L.A.’s mostly affluent homosexual community so adamantly back Karen Bass for mayor in the current race when Bass was, until very recently when the optics started to look terrible, a well-known cheer-leader for Fidel Castro? Don’t they know Castro built concentration camps for gays? Is this a cognitive disorder? Virtue-signaling gone berserk? Hypocrisy gone berserk?

And it’s not just the gay community. It’s all of largely white West Los Angeles lined up behind a woman with a solidly pro-totalitarian background. (The other day she reported she was robbed of two guns—and nothing else—in her house, though she votes against gun rights every time. Go figure.)

No, there’s something more going on here than mere virtue-

signaling (although there's plenty of that). That's a symptom of a deeper disease.

A few years back (2016) I published the book "[I Know Best: How Moral Narcissism Is Destroying Our Republic, If It Hasn't Already](#)." In it, I posited that there was a kind of mental illness in our culture—a moral narcissism—leading people to separate what they said they wanted from the actual results ensuing from what they said. The latter were of little importance and to be disregarded, as long as you said the approved thing initially.

While I'm proud of the book, I underestimated what I wrote then. Moral narcissism is now a full-blown disease in the USA and throughout the world that's more dangerous and harder to cure than COVID-19. It's both a mental and spiritual illness. And it's highly communicable, being taught as "knowledge" or "wisdom" in virtually all our schools.

Many things have contributed to the increased prevalence of this disease, including conformity, fear, and greed.

We live in a world of people who proclaim themselves to be good while doing bad, inadvertently or not. The extreme version of this is people like Fidel Castro, but the local versions may ultimately be more insidious because less obviously recognized.

Until we see our greatest cities in this extraordinary state of decline.

And if you're not living in one of those cities, don't be smug. This decline, like moral narcissism, is communicable. It already has been—all over the place.

First published in the [Epoch Times](#).