Why Won't Twitter Ban Iran's Supreme Leader?

by Hugh Fitzgerald



As everyone knows, Twitter has permanently banned Donald Trump from using its platform to express his views because, as it announced on January 8, of "the risk of further incitement of violence."

Meanwhile, in Tehran, the Supreme Leader continues freely to tweet his threats to destroy Israel, and his calls to murder Iranian dissidents, and demonstrates his vivid oriental imagination in his conspiracy-theorizing about the coronavirus and vaccines. On the same day — January 8 — that Twitter banned Trump for life, Khamenei continued to post his far more dangerous tweets. Twitter, to avoid being charged with blatant double standards, decided that when the Khamenei account posted a tweet that day in which the Iranian Supreme Leader

called the coronavirus vaccines produced by the U.S., and Britain "completely untrustworthy" and accused the Western powers of trying to "contaminate" other nations — and especially Iran — by offering to send them presumably ineffective or even dangerous vaccine, decided it was time to act. (Never mind that the charges were absurd on their face — the vaccines which Khamenei said were dangerous were the very same vaccines that the Western countries were using to inoculate as many of their citizens as fast as possible.) So Twitter removed that particular tweet by the Supreme Leader.

The unfairness remained. Tweeter decided to act, but only in the most minimal fashion. Did it ban Khamenei for life? No. Did it perhaps ban him for "as long as the pandemic still rages" because of his consistently misleading and dangerous-to-Iranian-health conspiracy theorizing? No. Did it ban him for even a week? No. All Twitter did this time — as it did when in the past Khamenei upheld in a tweet Ayatollah Khomeini's fatwa against Salman Rushdie was to remove that single tweet. Not nearly good enough.

This extraordinary privileging of Khamenei's tweets is reported on <u>Jihad Watch</u>.